The DURel Annotation Tool: Using fine-tuned LLMs to discover nonrecorded senses in multiple languages

Pauline Sander¹, Simon Hengchen², Wei Zhao³, Xiaocheng Ma³, Emma Sköldberg⁴, Shafqat Virk⁴ & Dominik Schlechtweg¹

¹University of Stuttgart, ²iguanodon.ai and Université de Genève, ³University of Aberdeen, ⁴University of Gothenburg durel@ims.uni-stuttgart.de

The concept of semantic proximity has long been present in Cognitive Semantics (Blank 1997). It quantifies how much the meanings of two word uses "have in common" (Schlechtweg 2023, cf. p. 25). Semantic proximity is also recognized in Lexicography (Kilgarriff 1997), where it has been used as a criterion in the lexicographic clustering process (Kilgarriff 2007). Semantic proximity is essential for identifying word senses and creating dictionary entries, as well as research building on senses such as lexical semantic change or semantic variation (Schlechtweg 2023). After advances in modeling the meaning of word uses with contextualized embeddings from language models trained on large amounts of textual data, it has become possible to estimate the semantic proximity between word uses using so-called Word-in-Context (WiC) models, which are specifically optimized on human-annotated semantic proximity training data. These models achieve high performance and serve as an excellent starting point for any practical task that relies on semantic proximity, such as finding novel/unrecorded senses or identifying words that change their meaning. To make these new techniques accessible to researchers outside of Computational Linguistics, we have developed the DURel tool (Schlechtweg et al. 2024). The basic annotation data gathered in the system are judgments of semantic proximity between word uses, created using the DURel relatedness scale (Schlechtweg 2023, p. 33). To showcase the potential of our computational methods, we explore how DURel can be used to identify potentially outdated dictionary entries.

References. Blank, A. (1997). Prinzipien des lexikalischen Bedeutungswandels am Beispiel der romanischen Sprachen. Tübingen: Niemeyer. • Kilgarriff, A. (1997). I don't believe in word senses. Computers and the Humanities 31(2), 91-113. • Kilgarriff, A. (2007). Word senses. In E. Agirre & P. Edmons (eds), Word sense disambiguation: algorithms and applications. Dordrecht; Springer, 29-46. • Schlechtweg, D. (2023). Human and computational measurement of lexical semantic change. PhD dissertation, Stuttgart: Universität Stuttgart. • Schlechtweg, D., S. Virk, P. Sander, E. Sköldberg, L. Theuer Linke, T. Zhang, N. Tahmasebi, J. Kuhn & S. Schulte Im Walde (2024). The DURel annotation tool: human and computational measurement of semantic proximity, sense clusters and semantic change. Proceedings of the 18th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations. Association for Computational Linguistics, 137-149.